

Amendment 2 - FY2016-2017 Community Developmental Disabilities Request for Proposals (RFP)
Responses for Written Inquiries received through March 3, 2015;
Amend Section 4.04.3 Question and Criteria
Amend Section 1.06 Program Funding, Match Requirements, and Section 4.04.5 j
Amend Corresponding Answers to Match Requirements in Questions 14 and 15 in Amendment 1

Questions and Answers:

Question #1: Should the second portion of the logic model be filled out based on FY14 or FY15 data or left blank to be filled out at the end of FY16?

Answer #1: Page 1 of the Logic Model/Performance Measure Framework should be filled out according to the directions listed on the form and described in the amendment below. Page 2 is provided to clarify Results Based Accountability reporting requirements due at the end of each grant year, based on compilation of that full year's data. It has been determined that an amendment is needed to the question and criteria related to completion of the Logic Model / Performance Measure Framework and Evaluation Plan.

Section 4.04.3 is hereby amended in its entirety and replaced with the following:

Section 4.04.3 Question:

“Provide an evaluation plan that has been created using the instructions provided for completing Page 1 of the Logic Model and Performance Measure Framework form and attach it to your proposal. Additionally, describe how the program will implement the Performance Measures listed on Page 2 of the LM/PMF form.”

Section 4.04.3a:

“Using the Logic Model format provided, an evaluation plan has been completed that includes the resources, activities and anticipated outputs applicable to their proposed project that are compliant with program intent.” Point value remains the same.

Section 4.04.3b:

“The applicant has identified how their program intends to meet the efficiency and effectiveness measures that will be used to evaluate the progress of the grant project toward achieving the program goals and desired outcomes listed in the Logic Model.” Point value remains the same.

Section 4.04.3c:

This criterion has been deleted.

Question #2: The RFP is for a 2-year period. How is an agency to address compliance with “Conflict Free Case Management/Care Coordination” by July 2016 when the grant specifically states that an agency

must comply with the Provider Conditions of Participation (COPs) and internally complete a Plan of Care for all individuals receiving agency services? That is, are agencies expected to maintain Waiver Certification to Provide Care Coordination Services in addition to maintaining Certification to provide other direct services?

Answer #2: The Division is transitioning to a model of Conflict Free Case Management, which may affect year two of the grant, and is working with stakeholders to develop a transition plan for implementing Conflict Free Case Management. Grantees providing Case Management under the grant will receive notification once the plan is finalized and approved.

Question #3: Applicants are referred to Amendment 1, Questions 14 and 15.

“Questions 14: Is there a new Match requirement? Is the Match a Must? What is the calculation of the match? And 15: How does the Division propose agencies meet the significant 10% match requirement?”

Answer #3: Answers to Questions 14 and 15 of Amendment 1, regarding the requirement for a 10% match, are hereby deleted and replaced by the following amendments to the RFP:

Section 1.06 Program Funding, under the heading “Match Funds” is deleted in its entirety and is replaced with the following:

“Match Requirements: *The budget may include matching funds. Applicants are encouraged to seek additional funding sources, as well as contributing their own resources towards their proposed program*

Restrictions to allowable matching funds are as follows:

- 1. Federal grant funds may not be used to match federal funds awarded through this grant program.*
- 2. State grant funds may not be used to match funds awarded through this grant program.*
- 3. Grant Income*, Medicaid, and other third party receipts, including program income, such as donations from consumers, may be used as a match, and must be used in accordance with the requirement of 7AAC 78.210 to further the goals and desired outcomes of the grant project.*
- 4. Local match may include in-kind contributions from volunteers, as well as donations of supplies, equipment, and space, and other items of value for which the applicant does not incur a cost.*
- 5. Local Cash match may include local tax receipts, municipal revenue sharing, cash donations, and other local sources of cash receipts.”*

**According to the provisions of 7 AAC 78.210, receipts identified as grant income must be used to further the goals and desired outcomes of the grant project.”*

Section 4.04.5 j is deleted in its entirety and replaced by the following:

“Intended match is proposed and committed as “Required Match” and is fully supported by tangible evidence of additional funding sources.” Point value remains the same.