

## Sample Items to be Included in Draft Evaluation Plan

Activities/Outputs	Efficiency Measures	Effectiveness Measures	Outcomes
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Formation and # of ICM steering committee meetings</li> <li>• Formation and # of ACT referral committee meetings</li> <li>• # participating partners</li> <li>• # ACT trainings attended</li> <li>• # clients accepted into program</li> <li>• Average amount of support funds expended</li> <li>• Outreach and engagement contacts</li> <li>• Program occupancy</li> <li>• # of contacts with case manager within last 90 days</li> <li>• Individuals are placed in housing (pre-tenancy services)</li> <li>• Linkage to mainstream benefit (Medicaid, SSI, Food Stamps, rent subsidy)</li> <li>• Ongoing tenancy and recovery support services</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cost per participant who successfully remains in the program for 1 year</li> <li>• Cost per participant served</li> <li>• Institutional cost per participant who does not successfully remain in program and requires institutionalization</li> <li>• # of people who are diverted from or removed from General Relief</li> <li>• # of people who move in to housing</li> <li>• Number moving to other permanent housing destination</li> <li>• Case load ratios</li> <li>• % of clients paying 30% of income to rent</li> <li>• Reduction in overall cost of government services (jail, court, police, medical)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Client satisfaction (CSR Q. 19)</li> <li>• Time from identification of participant to first direct service encounter</li> <li>• Time from identification of participant to move-in</li> <li>• Availability of services 24/7</li> <li>• ACT: fidelity to evidence-based practice models (TMACT score of &gt;3.0)</li> <li>• Fidelity to Permanent Supportive Housing Program Model (both process and outcome review)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Rate of readmission to Alaska Psychiatric Institute/days of hospitalization</li> <li>• Days in jail in last 90 days</li> <li>• Decreased use of emergency services: hospital emergency room, safety center, police interaction</li> <li>• Reduced deaths amongst the chronically homeless population</li> <li>• Reduced neighborhood impact from chronically homeless alcoholics (based on input from community steering committee)</li> <li>• Level of meaningful activity and</li> <li>• Level of employment income</li> <li>• Number successfully remaining in supportive housing past 1 year (minimum of 85%)</li> <li>• Demonstration of PSH effectiveness and data collection for future case rate methodology</li> <li>• # mentally unhealthy days (CSR Q. 2)</li> <li>• # physically unhealthy days (CSR Q. 3)</li> <li>• Substance abuse reported (CSR Q. 8, 9, 2)</li> <li>• Increased quality of life (CSR Q. 18)</li> </ul>